UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,378	10/30/2003	Augusto A. Picozza	Sunhpro-2-4244	3020
7590 07/09/2007 Lawrence J. Shurupoff Sunbeam Products, Inc.			EXAMINER	
			ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE	
2381 Executive Center Drive Boca Raton, FL 33431			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A L'					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/699,378	PICOZZA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yvonne R. Abbott	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 April 2007</u> .						
,_	, —						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,4-7,10-15,19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,10-15,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	or the defined doples not reserve	•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atont Application					

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 4-7,10-15,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The "blade edges depending substantially vertically from said lower surface of said core" is new matter. This subject matter also appears to contradict the recitation in Specification amendment filed 4/11/05 which recites that the blade edges are parallel. Therefore, the Action which follows is based on the claims excluding this subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3644

4. Claims 1, 4-7, 13-15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hakim (USPN 6,647,828). Hakim discloses the claimed invention comprising a core ("HARD" portion indicated in Figure 6(A)) having upper and lower surfaces and defining a longitudinally curved (as seen in Figures 1-3) scraper blade 18, having a scraper surface (edges of portion 18). The scraper surfaces of the blade are considered to be capable of scraping sweat from the body of an animal. The blade 18 is considered to have a tip at one flee end and a handle 38 at an opposite end. A neck portion of the blade 18 extends, in a longitudinally curved manner, between blade center 24 and handle 38. A sheath (16 and "SOFT" portion in Figure 6(A)) extends along a length of the blade 18 defining a pair of V-shaped scraper blade edges (see Figure 6(A)). The sheath 16 is considered to envelope at least a portion of the neck portion. The core is made of a first resilient material, i.e. polypropylene, and the sheath is made of a second resilient material, i.e. silicone or other elastomers (Hakim, col.2, 11,41-50). Regarding claim 13, the blade 18 is considered to be trough-like. Regarding claims 14 and 15, as seen in Figure 6(A) the sheath and core couple together in a tongue-and-groove-type interlock. Regarding claim 19, the blade edges on opposing sides are substantially perpendicular. Regarding claim 20, there is a substantially constant width for a majority of the length of blade 18.

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) asbeing unpatentable over Hakim. Hakim discloses the claimed device except the specific elastomer or rubber is not positively disclosed, Hakim only noted that other suitable elastomers may be used. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention .was made to use thermoplastic vulcanite, or ethylene propylene diene monomer rubber and a polypropylene, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (571) 272-6896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (571) 272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yvonne R. Abbott Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644